



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/520,853   | 03/07/2000  | Michael O'Doherty    | 584-1022                | 8975             |
| 7590 11/20/2003  |             | EXAMINER ·           |                         |                  |
| William M Lee Jr<br>BARNES & THORNBURG<br>P O Box 2786 |             |                      | FLYNN, KIMBERLY D       |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Chicago, IL 60690-2786                                 |             |                      | 2153                    | 10               |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003 | 12               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| PP |
|----|
|    |

| <u>^</u> _ ن   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/520,853   | O'DOHERTY, MICHAEL  |  |  |  |
| The state of the s | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  | Kimberly D Flynn   | 2153  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |   |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | roid abandonment of this applica<br>a timely filed amendment which<br>(with appeal fee); or (3) a timely   | ition. A proper reply to a  |  |  |  |
|  | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a)</li></ul>  |  | in the final rejection which were in later. In  |  |  |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF   | Brief must be filed within the pe  |   |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause:  |   |  |  |  |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further   | er consideration and/or search (s  | see NOTE below);  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b   | elow);   |   |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application ir<br>issues for appeal; and/or   | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or simplifying the  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancelling  | ng a corresponding number of fi  | nally rejected claims.  |  |  |  |
| NOTE:  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a se  | parate, timely filed amendment  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ required the application in condition for allowance be application.</li> <li>6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ul>  | ecause: See Continuation Sheet.  | ·   |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we  |  |   |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 20-26</u> .   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr  | oved or b) disapproved by the  | ne Examiner.  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   | 4  | GLENTON B. BURGESS<br>SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER   |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that RFC 2543 does not propose the storage of computer software code in SIP message. In response to applicant's argument the examiner has cited page 25, lines 21-33, to indicate the SIP URL as computer software code being stored in a SIP message.